# Treatment of Photoepilation with Formax100 DPC Technology

B. Czajkowsky, MD<sup>1</sup>, V. Kipnis, MD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SharpLight Medical Advisor, <sup>2</sup>AML Clinics, Israel

### **ABSTRACT**

This paper describes the Formax photoepilation system with Dynamic Pulse Control (DPC) technology applied for photoepilation. The availability of the DPC in IPL hair removal enables a higher safety margin for effectively treating dark skin patients. Forty (40) patients of skin types II-V participated in four treatment sessions, performed every 3 month. Effective hair removal was observed in all cases with both patient and practitioners expressing high satisfaction with the procedure and results. No adverse effects were observed apart from temporary erythema, in a few cases, which resolved without complications.

### INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, the trend in cultural and social perception of the "aesthetically ideal" amount of body hair has been toward less and less body hair, for both males and females.

The most common technique currently used in hair-removal procedures is photoepilation: the use of lasers or filtered intense pulsed light (IPL) to cause hair-follicle coagulation. This technique is based on the theory of Selective Photothermolysis, requires a series of treatment sessions spaced 1-3 months apart and is associated with minimal discomfort and side effects.

The Formax photoepilation system is an IPL system which features **Dynamic Pulse Control** (DPC) technology and thermoelectric skin contact cooling to enhance photoepilation safety and efficacy on all skin types.

## DYNAMIC PULSE CONTROL

Dynamic Pulse Control was developed to enable an additional dimension in controlling safety and efficacy of photoepilation on all skin and hair types.

In addition to pulse duration control which is available on most lasers and photoepilation devices, DPC enables pulse form control. For each fluence and pulse duration setting, light energy may be released in one of three DPC settings: Smooth Pulse, which is the least "aggressive" setting, Long Pulse and High Pulse which is the most aggressive. Light skin patients can tolerate aggressive energy delivery light/thin hair requires aggressive delivery while dark/thick hair can be effectively removed with minimally aggressive energy delivery which is essential to assure safety on dark skin patients.

Dynamic Pulse Control is enabled through the use of state-of-the-art pulse forming network (PFN) electronics designed specifically for the Formax system. Setting of the DPC is based on patient skin type, hair color and hair thickness according to the following: **Smooth pulse:** Delivers a "square" homogenous pulse with low peak power, hence slow heating effect. Indicated for thick, dark hair on skin types I to V.

**Long pulse:** Delivers a train of pulses at 50% duty cycle (on-time equals off-time). Indicated for brown/black hair medium thickness skin types I-IV.

**High pulse:** Delivers a train of pulses with high peak power. Indicated for fine/light hair skin type's I-III.

## CONTACT COOLING

The Formax hand piece incorporates a Sapphire light guide which is cooled to extremely low temperatures using thermoelectric cooler (TEC). Due to a highly efficient design, epidermis temperature is maintained at around 5°C even when treating large areas continuously. This integral skin contact cooling significantly enhances patient safety particularly when treating dark skin patients. In addition it enhances efficacy since it allows safe use of higher fluences and finally it improves patient comfort since these low temperatures induce an analgesic effect. As practitioners are well aware, patient's satisfaction in photoepilation is both a function of treatment efficacy as well as comfort during the treatment.

Both DPC pulse control and TEC contact cooling allow safe use of higher energy fluences which results in improved treatment efficiency with fewer treatment sessions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This photoepilation clinical study was performed with the Formax 100 system equipped with the Form HR 635nm hand piece. This hand piece emits radiation from 635 nm and up to 950 nm.

System specifications are:

Spot size- 6.4 cm<sup>2</sup>

Dynamic Pulse Control (DPC): Smooth, Long or High Pulse

Pulse duration: 15, 30, 40, 50 and 100 msec

Fluence range: from 5 to 25 J/cm<sup>2</sup>.

The study was conducted at the American Laser Clinic (AML), Rishon LeZion, Israel from October 2007 to October 2008.

Forty (40) subjects, aged 20 to 60 years old, with Fitzpatrick's skin types II-V, underwent four treatment sessions spaced three months apart.

Of the 40 patients, 20 were male and 20 were female. 60% were less than 40 years of age.

Anatomical sites included: face, axils, bikini lines, chest, abdomen, shoulders, backs, arms and legs.

Treatment parameters applied were based on the test results as observed 30 to 60 minutes after light application. End point was mild diffused erythema with distinct per follicular response which shows up as circular erythema/edema around each follicle. In the case of skin type V patients a wait of at least 24 hours for a potential delayed response was practiced. All patients completed a medical-history questionnaire, and were screened for any contraindications.

All patients were briefed on the procedure, including potential complications and realistic expectations, and then signed an Informed Consent Form.

Body areas designated for treatment were photographed and then shaved.

No topical anesthesia or gel were required, nor were any applied.

No additional external skin cooling technique was required. A test was first conducted to determine the optimal treatment parameters for each patient.

Side effects of treatment, such as pain or excessive erythema or edema, as well as any adverse effects such as burns, hypopigmentation or hyper-pigmentation, were recorded.

Subjective overall patients' satisfaction with the procedure was recorded.

#### RESULTS

Use of the Formax100 with DPC yielded the following results:

36 patients (75 %) exhibited very good immediate skin reaction with the recommended parameters, 2 patients (12.5%) exhibited only slight skin reaction and 2 patients (12.5%) exhibited over reaction with the recommended test parameters.

Only two cases of mild adverse events were recorded: erythema which lasted for a few hours then spontaneously resolved without any further complications.

All the patients were able to bear the treatment without excessive sensation of pain. No significant discomfort was recorded. Practitioner's satisfaction grades are summarized in table 1 and patients' satisfaction grades are summarized in table 2.

**Table 1. Practitioner's Satisfaction Grade** 

Response Category	Males	Females
Very satisfied	18	18
Satisfied		2
Not satisfied	2	

**Table 2. Patient's Satisfaction Grade** 

Response Category	% of Treated Patients
Very satisfied	75
Satisfied	12.5
Not satisfied	12.5

Figure 1. Skin type IV, Male back and shoulders

Before 1 month after 4 treatment





Figure 2. Skin type IV, Female bikini line

Before 1 month after 2 treatment





Figure 3. Skin type IV, Female axils

Before 1 month after 3 treatment





### **CONCLUSIONS**

This clinical study has demonstrated high safety and efficacy of the Formax 100 in treating skin type III-V patients for photoepilation. The study resulted in very high patient satisfaction with 75% of the patients reporting high satisfaction.

No significant side effects and no complications were observed during the study.

The high level of safety and comfort observed by the practitioner and reported by the patients is attributed to both the DPC pulse control and TEC contact skin cooling. The availability of a new, additional 730nm hand piece may potentially extend the applicability of the Formax 100 also to skin type VI patients but this has yet to be confirmed.

The practitioners performing this study have many years of experience performing photoepilation with both lasers and other IPL systems. They found that the addition of the DPC as well as the contact cooling allows use of higher fluences, reduces the required number of treatment sessions, negates the need for anesthesia and minimizes side effects and complications.

Some of the patients had previously experienced treatments with other photoepilation devices and expressed clear preference for the Formax treatment due to reduced sensation of pain and overall improved treatment comfort.

#### **SUMMARY**

Photoepilation has become the treatment of choice for male and female patients, on any body area where hair removal is desired for aesthetic purposes.

SLT Formax 100 with DPC technology and a Contact-Cooling 635 hand piece is a step forward in the research of maximum effectiveness and safety in hair removal field.

The treatments assessments for the proposed protocol have yielded excellent results (87.5 % positive reactions).

Erythema and per follicular edema were evident in a high percentage of the cases (75 %), which indicates hair follicle coagulation and subsequent hair reduction.

Adverse secondary effects were minimum (12.5 %) and transient, and there were no pain complaints.

87.5 % of the patients were very satisfied with the treatment, with the practitioners'

work during the treatment sessions, and with the final, faster results.

The practitioners were highly satisfied with the treatments and the patients' responses to it, as it offers an easy method of operation and allows for uninterrupted treatment application within each session.

#### REFERENCES

Altshuler GB, Anderson RR, Smirnove MZ, et al (2001) Extended theory of selective photothermolysis. Laser Surg Med29:416-432.

Amin SP, Goldberg DJ., Clinical comparison of four hair removal lasers and light sources. J Cosmet Laser Ther. 2006 Jun; 8(2):65-8.

Bukhari IA., Photo-depilation: guidelines for care from the European Society for Laser Dermatology (ESLD). J Cosmet Laser Ther. 2006 Jun; 8(2):107.

El Bedewi AF., Hair removal with intense pulsed light. Lasers Med Sci. 2004; 19(1):48-51.

Fodor L, Menachem M, Ramon Y, Shoshani O, Rissin Y, Eldor L, Egozi D, Peled IJ, Ullmann Y., Hair removal using intense pulsed light (EpiLight): patient satisfaction, our experience, and literature review. Ann Plast Surg. 2005 Jan; 54(1):8-14. Review.

Gold MH, Bell MH, Foster TD, et al (1997) Long term epilation using Epilight broad band, intense pulsed light hair removal system. Dermatol Surg 23:909-913.

Hee Lee J, Huh CH, Yoon HJ, Cho KH, Chung JH., Photo-depilation results of axillaries hair in dark-skinned patients by intense pulsed light: comparison between different wavelengths and pulse width. Dermatol Surg. 2006 Feb; 32(2):234-40.

Haedersdal M, Wulf HC., Evidence-based review of hair removal using lasers and light sources. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2006 Jan; 20(1):9-20. Review.

Lepselter J, Elman M., Biological and clinical aspects in laser hair removal. J Dermatolog Treat. 2004 Apr; 15(2):72-83. Review.

Marayiannis KB, Vlachos SP, Savva MP, Kontoes PP., Efficacy of long- and short pulse alexandrite lasers compared with an intense pulsed light source for epilation: a study on 532 sites in 389 patients. J Cosmet Laser Ther. 2003 Dec; 5(3-4):140-5.

Moreno-Arias G, Castelo-Branco C, Ferrando J., Paradoxical effect after IPL photoepilation. Dermatol Surg. 2002 Nov; 28(11):1013-6; discussion 1016.

Moreno-Arias GA, Castelo-Branco C, Ferrando J., Side-effects after IPL photoepilation. Dermatol Surg. 2002 Dec; 28(12):1131-4.

Ortolon, Ken (April 2006). Clip, Don't Nick: Physicians Target Hair Removal to Cut Surgical Infections. Texas Medicine. Retrieved on 2007-05-14.

Raulin C, Greve B, Grema H., IPL technology: a review. Lasers Surg Med. 2003; 32(2):78-87. Review.

Schroeter CA, Groenewegen JS, Reineke T, Neumann HA., Ninety percent permanent hair reduction in transsexual patients. Ann Plast Surg. 2003 Sep; 51(3):243-8.

Sadick NS, Weiss RA, Shea CR, Nagel H, Nicholson J, Prieto VG., Long-term photoepilation using a broad-spectrum intense pulsed light source. Arch Dermatol. 2000 Nov;136(11):1336-40.

Sadick NS, Shea CR, Burchette JL Jr, Prieto VG., High-intensity flashlamp photoepilation: a clinical, histological, and mechanistic study in human skin. Arch Dermatol. 1999 Jun; 135(6):668-76.

Shapiro J, Lui H., Treatments for unwanted facial hair. Skin Therapy Lett. 2005 Dec-2006 Jan; 10(10):1-4. Review

Toosi P, Sadighha A, Sharifian A, Razavi GM, A comparison study of the efficacy and side effects of different light sources in hair removal. Lasers Med Sci. 2006 Apr; 21(1):1-4.

Warner J, Weiner M, Gutowski KA., Laser hair removal. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2006 Jun; 49(2):389-400.



SharpLight Technologies Ltd. 33 Lazarov St. Rishon Le Zion, Israel 75654 Tel: + 972 (0) 3 9611969

Fax: + 972 (0) 3 9528890 info@sharplightech.com www.sharplightech.com

MRK-00022 Rev 1